

9.45. RATING OF JOINT LIABILITY GROUP - Revised on 12 Feb 2013 by Kudumbashree

Sr.N o.	Parameter	Means of Measurement	Max. marks / parameter	Performance	Marks permitted	Comments
1	Composition	Attendance Register	5	Homogeneous of same gender (all women or all men group)	5	undertake similar or same kind of activity as this may provide better understanding among the group members. This is expected to positively
				Hetrogenous mixed gender group	3	
2	Age	Any document	5	average age 25 - 40	5	Capacity to do the labour work
				average age 41 - 55	4	
				Average age > 55	3	
3	Meetings	Minutes Book	5	4 meetings in a month	5	The meetings are expected to provide a platformfor interaction between group members and thus contribute positively to the growth of group members
				2 - 3 meetings in month	4	
				Atleast 1 meeting per month	2	
4	Attendance in the meetings	Attendance Register	5	More than 75%	5	Attendance in the meetings is considered as a proxy to measure the homogeneity among the members
				atleast 60% of the members	3	
				Less than 60%	1	
5	Maintenance of Minutes book	Minutes book	5	Maintained in detail with clear decisions	5	This parameter attempts to gauge the clarity of thoughts and the decision making process through the minutes book.
				Not in detail but regularly maintained	4	
				not maintained	0	
6	Knowledge of group rules and regulations and understanding of the concept of Joint Liability group	Interaction of the committee with the group members	10	Majority (> 70%) of the members are aware	10	It is imperative that all the members are aware of the conceptof joint liability as the membership also entails financila commitment. Particularly, if the rating is '0' then other parameters needs to be carefully rated.
				Only a few members(<70%) are aware	5	
				Not aware	0	
7	Thrift frequency of JLG members	To be verified with parent NHG savings ledger / certificate issued by CDS	10	If 90 % members pay thrift 4 times per month	10	To be verified with the individual pass book
				89 - 60 % members pay thrift 3 times per month	7	
				< 59 % members pay thrift less than three times	3	
8	Proximity of members	Physical distance	5	atleast 70% of the members from same NHG	5	The physical distance among the group is deemed to have an impact on follow up and monitoring
				60% of the members different NHGs fromsame ward	4	
				< 60% of the members In the DIFFERent Ward	1	
9	Proximity of the place of activity to members	Physical distance	5	same ward	5	The physical distance between the location of activity of the group and the majority members location is deemed to have an impact on group's performance and control
				different ward but same panchayat	4	
10	Potential for proposed activity	Consensus of the appraisal committee	10	Established activity	5	The parameter attempts to gauge the business risk based on the nature of the activity and its potential
	Support from			Emerging Activity	4	
11	Promoter/promoting agency / Govt	Certificate from CDS	10	Eligible for support from govt/agency	10	This parameter is a proxy to measure the extent of self reliance of the group and its members and also the relationship between the promoting agency and the group
				Not eligible for support (APL).	5	
12	Ownership of Land / Physical Assets	Documents produced by the group/ certificate issued by CDS	10	Lease land (documents issued by CDS)	10	To assess the access to assets / ownership of assets and other physical infrastructure
				own land	8	
13	Skill / Experience of members in the proposed activity	Documents produced by the group/ CDS or can be appraised by the committee	5	60% of the members possess the requisite skills / have experience	5	The experience and the skill of majority of the members would positively contribute the growth of the members. If only one member has the experience it may face the key man risk. In such a case, there is a need for conscious effort to the build the capacity of the members
				40% to 60% members possess the requisite skills /experience	3	
				Less than 40% of members possess the skill/experience	1	
14	Credit history of the group members (changed)	TO be verified withparent NHGs/ Certificate issued by CDS	10	All of the members have a good credit history	10	The experience and the skill of majority of the members would positively contribute the growth of the members. If only one member has the experience it may face the key man risk. In such a case, there is a need for conscious effort to the build the capacity of the members
				Majority of the members (atleast 70%) have a good credit history and others do not have adverse credit history	7	
				Only a few members (Atleast 50%)have credit history and other members do not have an adverse credit history	5	
				No credit history for any of the member	1	
Total			100			

If More than three parameters obtain the rating 0, then the group may be called for re-rating after 3 months and only after that credit limit shall be sanctioned

If total marks obtained is less than 70%, the group may be required to be re-rated after 3 months before credit limit being sanctioned